



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6644
Title of the Manuscript:	Sesame Tolerance to Herbicides Applied Postemergence-Directed
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Introduction contains many irrelevant contents, author should confined introduction to their study objectives only	Several paragraphs have been deleted and the Introduction now just contains information pertaining to weeds and weed control.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Overall language needs improvement in all sections of manuscript.	Changes have been made.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The reasons behind special and temporal variation in herbicide toxicity to sesame plants as discussed by author in R&D are not explained properly.	Not sure what the reviewer is trying to say. There is limited information available for a discussion on specific herbicide activity and therefore our discussion is limited. This is the first publication that discusses the use of POST-directed herbicide sprays in sesame and therefore the discussion is short.